

Section 117 Direction		Consistency/Comment
Direction No. 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones		
Objectives 1) The objectives of this direction are to: a) encourage employment growth in suitable locations, b) protect employment land in business and industrial zones, and c) support the viability of identified strategic centres.		
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils.		
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that affects land within an existing or proposed business or industrial zone (including the alteration of any existing business or industrial zone boundary).		
What a council must do if this direction applies		
4) A draft LEP shall: a) give effect to the objectives of this direction, b) retain the areas and locations of existing business and industrial zones, c) not reduce the total potential floor space area for employment uses and related public services in business zones, d) not reduce the total potential floor space area for industrial uses in industrial zones, and e) ensure that proposed new employment areas are in accordance with a strategy that is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning.		The rezoning would decrease the area of land within the heavy industrial zone and will change the zoning of the land. Land is currently zoned 4(1) Industrial (Core). This will be rezoned to a range of uses under the Stage 1 and Stage 2 zoning. Approximately 40.6 hectares will be retained in an employment zone. 57 hectares will be rezoned for residential purposes, About 29 hectares is not suitable for industrial purposes comprising containment cells. Together with the stage 1 rezoning, the employment lands have the potential for significant employment growth of 1,600 jobs which more than compensates for the loss of jobs as a result of the closure of the previous heavy industries on the site (Pasminco and Incitec) which together provided jobs for 325 persons.
Consistency		
5) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the		The inconsistency is justified having regard to the objectives of the direction in that significant employment growth is

Section 117 Direction		Consistency/Comment
provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objective of this direction, and ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study (prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i>) which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance. Note: In this direction, "identified strategic centre" means a centre that has been identified as a strategic centre in a regional strategy, sub-regional strategy, or another strategy approved by the Director General.		accommodated in appropriate locations having regard to proximity to the public transport and the physical characteristics of the site. The employment zones identified to replace the outdated heavy industrial zone allows a wider range of contemporary employment uses. Such land is in close proximity to and will support the Glendale emerging regional centre and the Cardiff to Edgeworth corridor as identified in the Lower Hunter Strategy. The proposed zoning has been justified in by Council's adopted Pasminco Munibung Hill Draft Land Use Strategy and is on land appropriately zoned for this use. Together with the stage 1 rezoning, the employment lands have the potential for significant employment growth of 1,600 jobs which more than compensates for the loss of jobs as a result of the closure of the previous heavy industries on the site (Pasminco and Incitec) which together provided jobs for 325 persons.
Direction No. 1.2 Rural Zones		
Objectives		
1) The objective of this direction is to protect the agricultural production value of rural land.		
Where this direction applies		
2) This direction applies to all councils.		
When this direction applies		
3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that affects land within an existing or proposed rural zone (including the alteration of any existing rural zone boundary).		
What a council must do if this direction applies		
4) A draft LEP shall: a) not rezone land from a rural zone to a residential, business, industrial, village or tourist zone, b) not contain provisions which will increase the permissible density of land within a rural zone (other than land within an existing town or village), and c) include provisions that control access from traffic generating developments to classified roads in rural		Not applicable.

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
zones.	
Consistency	
5) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objective of this direction, ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance. Note: In this direction, "classified road" has the same meaning as in the <i>Roads Act 1993</i>.	
Direction No.1.3 Mining, Petroleum and Extractive Industries	
Objectives 1) The objective of this direction is to ensure that the future extraction of State or regionally significant reserves of coal, other minerals, petroleum and extractive materials are not compromised by inappropriate development.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils.	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that would have the effect of: a) prohibiting the mining of coal or other minerals, production of petroleum, or winning or obtaining of extractive materials, or b) restricting the potential development of resources of coal, other minerals, petroleum or extractive materials which are of State or regional significance by permitting a land use that is likely to be incompatible with such development.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
4) In the preparation of a draft LEP affected by this direction, the council shall: a) consult the Director-General of the Department of Primary Industries (DPI) to identify any: i) resources of coal, other minerals, petroleum or extractive material that are of either State or regional significance, and ii) existing mines, petroleum production operations or extractive industries occurring in the area subject to the draft LEP, and b) seek advice from the Director-General of DPI on the development potential of resources identified under (4)(a)(i), and c) identify and take into consideration issues likely to lead to land use conflict between other land uses and : i) development of resources identified under (4)(a)(i), or ii) existing development identified under (4)(a)(ii). 5) Where a draft LEP prohibits or restricts development of resources identified under (4)(a)(i), or proposes land uses that may create land use conflicts identified under (4)(c), council shall: a) provide the Director-General of DPI with a copy of the draft LEP and notification of the relevant provisions, b) allow the Director-General of DPI a period of 40 days from the date of notification to provide in writing any objections to the terms of the draft LEP, and c) include a copy of any objection and supporting information received from the Director-General of DPI with the statement to the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) under section 64 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i>.	Consistent. The MSB has advised Council that it has no objection to the proposed rezoning. The MSB approval would be required for any subdivision or the erection of improvements subsequent to the rezoning. The NSW Department of Primary Industries (Minerals) advised has it also has no objection to the rezoning providing underground mining is permissible with development consent.
Consistency	
6) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General), that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are of minor significance.	
Direction No. 1.4 Oyster Aquaculture	
Objectives	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
1) The objectives of this direction are: a) to ensure that Priority Oyster Aquaculture Areas and oyster aquaculture outside such an area are adequately considered when preparing a draft LEP, b) to protect Priority Oyster Aquaculture Areas and oyster aquaculture outside such an area from land uses that may result in adverse impacts on water quality and consequently, on the health of oysters and oyster consumers.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to Priority Oyster Aquaculture Areas and oyster aquaculture outside such an area as identified in the <i>NSW Oyster Industry Sustainable Aquaculture Strategy</i> (2006) ("the Strategy").	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council decides to prepare, or is directed by the Minister to prepare, any draft LEP that proposes a change in land use which could result in: a) adverse impacts on a Priority Oyster Aquaculture Area or a "current oyster aquaculture lease in the national parks estate"; or b) incompatible use of land between oyster aquaculture in a Priority Oyster Aquaculture Area or a "current oyster aquaculture lease in the national parks estate" and other land uses.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) In the preparation of a draft LEP affected by this direction, the council shall: a) identify any Priority Oyster Aquaculture Areas and oyster aquaculture leases outside such an area, as shown the maps to the Strategy, to which the draft LEP would apply, b) identify any proposed land uses which could result in any adverse impact on a Priority Oyster Aquaculture Area or oyster aquaculture leases outside such an area, c) identify and take into consideration any issues likely to lead to an incompatible use of land between oyster aquaculture and other land uses and identify and evaluate measures to avoid or minimise such land use incompatibility, d) consult with the Director-General of the Department of Primary Industries (DPI) of the proposed changes in the preparation of the draft LEP, and e) ensure the draft LEP is consistent with the Strategy. 5) Where a draft LEP proposes land uses that may result in adverse impacts identified under (4)(b) and (c), council shall: a) provide the Director-General of DPI with a copy of the draft LEP and notification of the relevant provisions, b) allow the Director-General of DPI a period of 40 days from the date of notification to provide in writing any objections to the terms of the draft LEP, and	Not applicable

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
c) include a copy of any objection and supporting information received from the Director-General of DPI with the statement to the Director-General of the Department of Planning under section 64 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> .	
Consistency	
6) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are of minor significance. Note: In this direction: (a) "Priority Oyster Aquaculture Areas" has the same meaning as in the NSW Oyster Industry Sustainable Aquaculture Strategy; and (b) an "incompatible use of land" includes access to oyster leases being limited by the change in land use or the risk of adverse impacts as a result of that change in land use on water quality and, consequently, on the health of oysters and on the health of consumers of those oysters.	
Direction No. 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones	
Objective	
1) The objective of this direction is to protect and conserve environmentally sensitive areas.	
Where this direction applies	
2) This direction applies to all councils.	
When this direction applies	
3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) A draft LEP shall include provisions that facilitate the protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas. 5) A draft LEP that applies to land within an existing environmental protection zone or land otherwise identified for environmental protection purposes in a LEP shall not reduce the environmental protection standards that apply to the land (including by modifying any development standards or subdivision controls that apply to the land).	The draft LEP includes provisions that protect and conserve environmentally sensitive areas including areas of vegetation significance. The rezoning seeks to rezone some of the 7(2) Conservation (Secondary) land located in the south eastern part of the site adjacent to Boolaroo for residential purposes. Some land currently zoned for industrial purposes will be zoned for conservation purposes.
Consistency	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
6) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objective of this direction, and ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance.	Land zoned for conservation that is proposed for zoning to residential has been proved to not be environmentally sensitive and is capable for development for residential purposes. This land is being remediated. Remediation involves the removal of existing vegetation. A large part of the site will remain in a conservation zone and land currently zoned for industrial purposes containing Angohpora Inopina will be zoned for conservation purposes. The land to be rezoned contains habitat for a range of terrestrial fauna species. The grey-headed flying fox and the eastern bent wing bat are threatened species that were recorded on the land proposed to be zoned for residential purposes in the south eastern part of the site. Flora and fauna studies found that the habitat is highly fragmented and disturbed which generally lack significant fauna habitat values. The loss of habitat values is not considered significant in the local or regional context. A flora and fauna study has been undertaken to substantiate the adjustments to the boundary of the conservation zone on the site. Thus the draft LEP would be consistent with the objective of the direction being to protect and conserve environmentally sensitive areas.
Direction No. 2.2 Coastal Protection	
Objectives 1) The objective of this direction is to implement the principles in the NSW Coastal Policy.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to the coastal zone, as defined in the <i>Coastal Protection Act 1979</i> .	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that applies to land in the coastal	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
zone.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) A draft LEP shall include provisions that give effect to and are consistent with: a) the <i>NSW Coastal Policy: A Sustainable Future for the New South Wales Coast 1997</i>, and b) the <i>Coastal Design Guidelines 2003</i>, and c) the manual relating to the management of the coastline for the purposes of section 733 of the <i>Local Government Act 1993</i> (the <i>NSW Coastline Management Manual 1990</i>).	Consistent. The site is within the coastal zone. The draft LEP does not alter any provisions relating to the coastal zone in the current Lake Macquarie LEP 2004. The draft LEP is supported by this LES. The site is about 10 kms from the coastline and is not with the visual catchment of the coast or Lake Macquarie.
Consistency	
5) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objective of this direction, and ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance.	
Direction No. 2.3 Heritage Conservation	
Objectives	
1) The objective of this direction is to conserve items, areas, objects and places of environmental heritage significance and indigenous heritage significance.	
Where this direction applies	
2) This direction applies to all councils.	
When this direction applies	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) A draft LEP shall contain provisions that facilitate the conservation of: a) items, places, buildings, works, relics, moveable objects or precincts of environmental heritage significance to an area, in relation to the historical, scientific, cultural, social, archaeological, architectural, natural or aesthetic value of the item, area, object or place, identified in a study of the environmental heritage of the area, b) Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places that are protected under the <i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974</i>, and c) Aboriginal areas, Aboriginal objects, Aboriginal places or landscapes identified by an Aboriginal heritage survey prepared by or on behalf of an Aboriginal Land Council, Aboriginal body or public authority and provided to the council, which identifies the area, object, place or landscape as being of heritage significance to Aboriginal culture and people.	Consistent – the heritage significance of the Pasmenco site was investigated as part of the process of demolition and site remediation. Conservation Management Guidelines have been prepared and are in the process of being implemented. A number of elements of the site were identified as being of heritage significance including the former laboratory building, the alignment of the railway line and Fotheringham Road. The master plan for the site and the consequential zoning respects the heritage significance of these elements of the site in the manner outlines in the LES. Investigations have also been undertaken into the heritage significance of the Incitec site as part of the remediation planning process for this site. A copy of this report is attached to the LES. Although some items of local significance were found, the remediation imperatives of the site require the removal of these items. The draft LEP is in the vicinity of land containing an item of local heritage identified in LMLEP as AG-06 Speers Point Tram Route and R-02 Speers Point Steam Train Line. The draft LEP does not amend any provisions relating to the heritage item. Investigations have been undertaken into aboriginal archaeology at the site. The draft LEP does not change the zoning of any land requiring further archaeological investigation. The Aboriginal cultural value of Munibung Hill is in its landscape context.
Consistency	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
5) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the draft LEP complies with Part 5 of the <i>Heritage Act 1977</i>, and a) the environmental or indigenous heritage significance of the item, area, object or place is conserved by existing or draft environmental planning instruments, legislation, or regulations that apply to the land, or b) the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are of minor significance. Note: In this direction: “conservation”, “environmental heritage”, “item”, “place” and “relic” have the same meaning as in the <i>Heritage Act 1977</i>. “Aboriginal object”, “Aboriginal area” and “Aboriginal place” have the same meaning as in the <i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974</i>. Heritage conservation is covered by a compulsory clause in the Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) Order 2006. A LEP that adopts the Standard Instrument should identify such items, areas, objects or places of environmental heritage significance or indigenous heritage significance as are relevant to the terms of this direction on the Heritage Map and relevant Schedule of the LEP.	
Direction No. 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas	
Objectives 1) The objective of this direction is to protect sensitive land or land with significant conservation values from adverse impacts from recreation vehicles.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils.	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) A draft LEP shall not enable land to be developed for the purpose of a recreation vehicle area (within the meaning of the <i>Recreation Vehicles Act 1983</i>): a) where the land is within an environmental protection zone, b) where the land comprises a beach or a dune adjacent to or adjoining a beach, c) where the land is not within an area or zone referred to in paragraphs (4)(a) or (4)(b) unless the council	Consistent

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
has taken into consideration: i) the provisions of the guidelines entitled <i>Guidelines for Selection, Establishment and Maintenance of Recreation Vehicle Areas, Soil Conservation Service of New South Wales, September, 1985</i>, and ii) the provisions of the guidelines entitled <i>Recreation Vehicles Act, 1983, Guidelines for Selection, Design, and Operation of Recreation Vehicle Areas, State Pollution Control Commission, September 1985</i>.	
Consistency	
5) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objective of this direction, and ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance.	
Direction No. 3.1 Residential Zones	
Objectives 1) The objectives of this direction are: a) to encourage a variety and choice of housing types to provide for existing and future housing needs, b) to make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services and ensure that new housing has appropriate access to infrastructure and services, and c) to minimise the impact of residential development on the environment and resource lands.	
Where this direction applies	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
2) This direction applies to all councils.	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that affects land within: d) an existing or proposed residential zone (including the alteration of any existing residential zone boundary), e) any other zone in which significant residential development is permitted or proposed to be permitted.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) A draft LEP shall include provisions that encourage the provision of housing that will: a) broaden the choice of building types and locations available in the housing market, and b) make more efficient use of existing infrastructure and services, and c) reduce the consumption of land for housing and associated urban development on the urban fringe, and d) be of good design. 5) A draft LEP shall, in relation to land to which this direction applies: a) contain a requirement that residential development is not permitted until land is adequately serviced (or arrangements satisfactory to the council, or other appropriate authority, have been made to service it), and b) not contain provisions which will reduce the permissible residential density of land.	Consistent – a draft LEP based on the master plan provides variety and choice in housing through a combination of residential zones that are a logical extension of the township of Boolaroo. The site is in an existing urban close to public transport and regional and local centres. The population of Boolaroo has been declining and the redevelopment of the site provides the opportunity to make more efficient use of existing infrastructure and services.
Consistency	
6) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objective of this direction, and ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental</i>	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
<i>Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance.	
Direction No. 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates	
Objectives 1) The objectives of this direction are: a) to provide for a variety of housing types, and b) to provide opportunities for caravan parks and manufactured home estates.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils. This direction does not apply to: a) Crown land reserved or dedicated for any purposes under the <i>Crown Lands Act 1989</i>, except Crown land reserved for accommodation purposes, or b) land dedicated or reserved under the <i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974</i>.	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) In identifying suitable zones, locations and provisions for caravan parks in a draft LEP, council shall: a) retain provisions that permit development for the purposes of a caravan park to be carried out on land, and b) retain the zonings of existing caravan parks, or in the case of a new principal LEP zone the land in accordance with an appropriate zone under the Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) Order 2006 that would facilitate the retention of the existing caravan park. 5) In identifying suitable zones, locations and provisions for manufactured home estates (MHEs) in a draft LEP, council shall: a) take into account the categories of land set out in Schedule 2 of SEPP 36 as to where MHEs should not be located, b) take into account the principles listed in clause 9 of SEPP 36 (which councils are required to consider when assessing and determining the development and subdivision proposals), and c) include provisions that the subdivision of MHEs by long term lease of up to 20 years or under the	Consistent

Section 117 Direction		Consistency/Comment
Community Land Development Act 1989 be permissible with consent.		
Consistency		
6) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objective of this direction, and ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance.		
Direction No. 3.3 Home Occupations		
Objectives		
1) The objective of this direction is to encourage the carrying out of low-impact small businesses in dwelling houses.		
Where this direction applies		
2) This direction applies to all councils.		
When this direction applies		
3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP.		
What a council must do if this direction applies		
4) Draft LEPs shall permit home occupations to be carried out in dwelling houses without the need for development consent.	Consistent	
Consistency		
5) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent with the		

Section 117 Direction		Consistency/Comment
terms of this direction are of minor significance. Note: In this direction “home occupation” has the same meaning as it has in the Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) Order 2006.		
Direction No. 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport		
Objectives 1) The objective of this direction is to ensure that urban structures, building forms, land use locations, development designs, subdivision and street layouts achieve the following planning objectives: a) improving access to housing, jobs and services by walking, cycling and public transport, and b) increasing the choice of available transport and reducing dependence on cars, and c) reducing travel demand including the number of trips generated by development and the distances travelled, especially by car, and d) supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services, and e) providing for the efficient movement of freight.		
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils.		
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that creates, alters or removes a zone or a provision relating to urban land, including land zoned for residential, business, industrial, village or tourist purposes.		
What a council must do if this direction applies		
4) A draft LEP shall locate zones for urban purposes and include provisions that give effect to and are consistent with the aims, objectives and principles of: a) <i>Improving Transport Choice – Guidelines for planning and development</i> (DUAP 2001), and b) <i>The Right Place for Business and Services – Planning Policy</i> (DUAP 2001).		Consistent – the redevelopment of the site will make more efficient use of this large underutilised site located near the emerging regional centre of Glendale and in close proximity to rail and bus transport. The mix of uses proposed will provide variety and choice in housing and employment.
Consistency		
5) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objective of this		

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
direction, and ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance.	
Direction No. 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes	
Objectives 1) The objectives of this direction are: a) to ensure the effective and safe operation of aerodromes, and b) to ensure that their operation is not compromised by development that constitutes an obstruction, hazard or potential hazard to aircraft flying in the vicinity, and c) to ensure development for residential purposes or human occupation, if situated on land within the Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) contours of between 20 and 25, incorporates appropriate mitigation measures so that the development is not adversely affected by aircraft noise.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils.	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that creates, alters or removes a zone or a provision relating to land in the vicinity of a licensed aerodrome.	
What a council must do if this direction applies 4) In the preparation of a draft LEP that sets controls for the development of land in the vicinity of a licensed aerodrome, the council shall: a) consult with the Department of the Commonwealth responsible for aerodromes and the lessee of the aerodrome, b) take into consideration the Obstacle Limitation Surface (OLS) as defined by that Department of the Commonwealth, c) for land affected by the OLS:	
	Not applicable

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
i) prepare appropriate development standards, such as height, and ii) allow as permissible with consent development types that are compatible with the operation of an aerodrome d) obtain permission from that Department of the Commonwealth, or their delegate, where a draft LEP proposes to allow, as permissible with consent, development that encroaches above the OLS. This permission shall be obtained prior to a certificate under section 65 of the Act being issued. 5) A draft LEP shall not rezone land: a) for residential purposes, nor increase residential densities in areas where the ANEF, as from time to time advised by that Department of the Commonwealth, exceeds 25, or b) for schools, hospitals, churches and theatres where the ANEF exceeds 20, or c) for hotels, motels, offices or public buildings where the ANEF exceeds 30. 6) A draft LEP that rezones land: a) for residential purposes or to increase residential densities in areas where the ANEF is between 20 and 25, or b) for hotels, motels, offices or public buildings where the ANEF is between 25 and 30, or c) for commercial or industrial purposes where the ANEF is above 30, shall include a provision to ensure that development meets AS 2021 regarding interior noise levels	
Consistency	
7) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objectives of this direction, and ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study prepared in	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance.	
Direction No. 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	
Objectives 1) The objective of this direction is to avoid significant adverse environmental impacts from the use of land that has a probability of containing acid sulfate soils.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils that contain land having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils, as shown on Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps held by the Department of Planning	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that will apply to land having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils as shown on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps.	
What a council must do if this direction applies 4) Council shall consider the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Director-General of the Department of Planning when preparing a draft LEP that applies to any land identified on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps as having a probability of acid sulfate soils being present. 5) When a council is preparing a draft LEP to introduce provisions to regulate works in acid sulfate soils, those provisions shall be consistent with: a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Director-General, or b) such other provisions provided by the Director-General of the Department of Planning that are consistent with the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines. 6) A council shall not prepare a draft LEP that proposes an intensification of land uses on land identified as having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps unless the council has considered an acid sulfate soils study assessing the appropriateness of the change of land use given the presence of acid sulfate soils. Council shall provide a copy of any such study with its statement to the Director-General of the Department of Planning under section 64 of the EP&A Act.	
	Consistent – part of the site has the potential for acid sulphate soils. Existing provisions of the LEP will apply in this regard.

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
7) Where provisions referred to under paragraph (5) of this direction have not been introduced and council is preparing a draft LEP that proposes an intensification of land uses on land identified as having a probability of acid sulfate soils on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps, the draft LEP must contain provisions consistent with paragraph (5).	
Consistency	
8) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by an environmental study prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or b) of minor significance.	
Direction No. 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land	
Objectives	
1) The objective of this direction is to prevent damage to life, property and the environment on land identified as unstable or potentially subject to mine subsidence.	
Where this direction applies	
2) This direction applies to land that: a) is within a Mine Subsidence District proclaimed pursuant to section 15 of the Mine Subsidence Compensation Act 1961, or b) has been identified as unstable land.	
When this direction applies	
3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that permits development on land that: a) is within a mine subsidence district, or b) has been identified as unstable in a study, strategy or other assessment undertaken: i) by or on behalf of the council, or ii) by or on behalf of a public authority and provided to the council.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) When preparing a draft LEP that would permit development on land that is within a Mine Subsidence District a council shall: a) consult the Mine Subsidence Board to ascertain: i) if the Mine Subsidence Board has any objection to the draft Local Environmental Plan, and the reason for such an objection, and	Consistent – the MSB was consulted in accordance with S62 and has no objection to the proposed rezoning. There are former mine workings on the site between the proposed containment cell and the Cardiff West Industrial Estate.

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
ii) the scale, density and type of development that is appropriate for the potential level of subsidence, and b) incorporate provisions into the draft Local Environmental Plan that are consistent with the recommended scale, density and type of development recommended under (4)(a)(ii), and c) include a copy of any information received from the Mine Subsidence Board with the statement to the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) under section 64 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i>. 5) A draft LEP shall not permit development on unstable land referred to in paragraph 3(b).	
Consistency	
6) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are: a) justified by a strategy which: i) gives consideration to the objective of this direction, and ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the draft LEP (if the draft LEP relates to a particular site or sites), and iii) is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning, or b) justified by an environmental study prepared in accordance with section 57 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy or Sub-Regional Strategy prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or d) of minor significance. Note: With regard to development applications, section 15 of the <i>Mine Subsidence Compensation Act 1961</i> requires approval from the Mine Subsidence Board to alter or erect improvements within a mine subsidence district or to subdivide land therein. Section 91 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> (the EP&A Act) provides that approval under section 15 of the <i>Mine Subsidence Compensation Act 1961</i> is integrated development.	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
Section 91A of the EP&A Act provides that the consent authority must obtain from the relevant approval body (Mine Subsidence Board) the general terms of any approval proposed to be granted by the approval body in relation to the development. A consent granted by the consent authority must be consistent with the general terms of any approval proposed to be granted by the approval body.	
Direction No. 4.3 Flood Prone Land	
Objectives 1) The objectives of this direction are: a) to ensure that development of flood prone land is consistent with the NSW Government's Flood Prone Land Policy and the principles of the <i>Floodplain Development Manual 2005</i>, and b) to ensure that the provisions of an LEP on flood prone land is commensurate with flood hazard and includes consideration of the potential flood impacts both on and off the subject land.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils that contain flood prone land within their LGA.	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that creates, removes or alters a zone or a provision that affects flood prone land.	
What a council must do if this direction applies 4) A draft LEP shall include provisions that give effect to and are consistent with the NSW Flood Prone Land Policy and the principles of the <i>Floodplain Development Manual 2005</i> (including the <i>Guideline on Development Controls on Low Flood Risk Areas</i>). 5) A draft LEP shall not rezone land within the flood planning areas from Special Use, Special Purpose, Recreation, Rural or Environmental Protection Zones to a Residential, Business, Industrial, Special Use or Special Purpose Zone. 6) A draft LEP shall not contain provisions that apply to the flood planning areas which: a) permit development in floodway areas, b) permit development that will result in significant flood impacts to other properties, c) permit a significant increase in the development of that land, d) are likely to result in a substantially increased requirement for government spending on flood mitigation measures, infrastructure or services, or Consistent – the site has not been identified as flood prone land.	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
e) permit development to be carried out without development consent except for the purposes of agriculture (not including dams, drainage canals, levees, buildings or structures in floodways or high hazard areas), roads or exempt development. 7) A draft LEP must not impose flood related development controls above the residential flood planning level for residential development on land, unless a council provides adequate justification for those controls to the satisfaction of the Director-General (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General). 8) For the purposes of a draft LEP, a council must not determine a flood planning level that is inconsistent with the Floodplain Development Manual 2005 (including the <i>Guideline on Development Controls on Low Flood Risk Areas</i>) unless a council provides adequate justification for the proposed departure from that Manual to the satisfaction of the Director-General (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General).	
Consistency	
9) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that: a) the draft LEP is in accordance with a floodplain risk management plan prepared in accordance with the principles and guidelines of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005, or b) the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are of minor significance. Note: “flood planning area”, “flood planning level”, “flood prone land” and “floodway area” have the same meaning as in the <i>Floodplain Development Manual 2005</i>.	
Direction No. 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection	
Objectives 1) The objectives of this direction are: a) to protect life, property and the environment from bush fire hazards, by discouraging the establishment of incompatible land uses in bush fire prone areas, and b) to encourage sound management of bush fire prone areas.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils that are required to prepare a bush fire prone land map under section 146 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> (the EP&A Act), or, until such a map has been certified by the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service, a map referred to in Schedule 6 of that Act.	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that affects, or is in proximity to	

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
land mapped as bushfire prone land.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) In the preparation of a draft LEP a Council shall consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service under section 62 of the EP&A Act, and take into account any comments so made, 5) A draft LEP shall: a) have regard to <i>Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006</i>, b) introduce controls that avoid placing inappropriate developments in hazardous areas, and c) ensure that bushfire hazard reduction is not prohibited within the APZ. 6) A draft LEP shall, where development is proposed, comply with the following provisions, as appropriate: a) provide an Asset Protection Zone (APZ) incorporating at a minimum: i) an Inner Protection Area bounded by a perimeter road or reserve which circumscribes the hazard side of the land intended for development and has a building line consistent with the incorporation of an APZ, within the property, and ii) an Outer Protection Area managed for hazard reduction and located on the bushland side of the perimeter road, b) for infill development (that is development within an already subdivided area), where an appropriate APZ cannot be achieved, provide for an appropriate performance standard, in consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service. If the provisions of the draft LEP permit Special Fire Protection Purposes (as defined under section 100B of the <i>Rural Fires Act 1997</i>), the APZ provisions must be complied with, c) contain provisions for two-way access roads which links to perimeter roads and/or to fire trail networks, d) contain provisions for adequate water supply for fire fighting purposes, e) minimise the perimeter of the area of land interfacing the hazard which may be developed, f) introduce controls on the placement of combustible materials in the Inner Protection Area.	Consistent – the site contains bushfire prone lands. A plan of management has been prepared and implemented for the existing site including the provision of a network of fire trails on the vegetated lands which can be used for recreational purposes. Land proposed for urban development has been cleared of vegetation as part of the site remediation and the proposed zoning includes land required for asset protection purposes.
Consistency	

Section 117 Direction		Consistency/Comment
7) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the council has obtained written advice from the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service, to the effect that, notwithstanding the non-compliance, the NSW Rural Fire Service does not object to the progression of the draft LEP.		
Direction No. 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies		
Objectives		
1) The objective of this direction is to give legal effect to the vision, land use strategy, policies, outcomes and actions contained in regional strategies.		
Where this direction applies		
2) This direction applies to land to which the following regional strategies apply: a) Far North Coast Regional Strategy b) Lower Hunter Regional Strategy c) Illawarra Regional Strategy, and d) South Coast Regional Strategy		
When this direction applies		
3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft Local Environmental Plan (LEP).		
What a council must do if this direction applies		
4) Draft LEPs shall be consistent with a regional strategy released by the Minister for Planning.		
Consistency		
5) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General), that the extent of inconsistency with the regional strategy: f) is of minor significance, and g) the draft LEP achieves the overall intent of the regional strategy and does not undermine the achievement of its vision, land use strategy, policies, outcomes or actions.		Consistent – The master plan and draft LEP are consistent with the regional strategy in that they provide for the efficient reuse of existing urban land and contribute to achieving the target for dwellings in existing areas. The LES assesses the proposal against the sustainability criteria listed in the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy.
Direction No. 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments – Not Applicable		
Direction No. 5.3 Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast – Not Applicable		

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
Direction No. 5.4 Commercial and Retail Development along the Pacific Highway, North Coast – Not Applicable	
Direction No. 5.5 Development in the vicinity of Ellalong, Paxton and Millfield (Cessnock LGA) – Not Applicable	
Direction No. 5.6 Sydney to Canberra Corridor – Not Applicable	
Direction No. 5.7 Central Coast – Not Applicable	
Direction No. 5.8 Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek – Not Applicable	
Direction No. 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements	
Objectives 1) The objective of this direction is to ensure that LEP provisions encourage the efficient and appropriate assessment of development.	
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils.	
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP.	
What a council must do if this direction applies	
4) A draft LEP shall: a) minimise the inclusion of provisions that require the concurrence, consultation or referral of development applications to a Minister or public authority, and b) not contain provisions requiring concurrence, consultation or referral of a Minister or public authority unless the council has obtained the approval of: i) the appropriate Minister or public authority, and ii) the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General), prior to a certificate under section 65 of the Act being issued, and c) not identify development as designated development unless the council: i) can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the class of development is likely to have a significant impact on the	Consistent

Section 117 Direction		Consistency/Comment
environment, and ii) has obtained the approval of the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) prior to a certificate being issued under section 65 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.		
Consistency		
5) A draft LEP must be substantially consistent with the terms of this direction. Note: In this direction "public authority" has the same meaning as section 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.		
Direction No. 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes		
Objectives 1) The objectives of this direction are: a) to facilitate the provision of public services and facilities by reserving land for public purposes, and b) to facilitate the removal of reservations of land for public purposes where the land is no longer required for acquisition.		
Where this direction applies 2) This direction applies to all councils.		
When this direction applies 3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP.		
What a council must do if this direction applies		
4) A draft LEP shall not create, alter or reduce existing zonings or reservations of land for public purposes without the approval of the relevant public authority and the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General). 5) When a Minister or public authority requests a council to reserve land for a public purpose in a draft LEP and the land would be required to be acquired under Division 3 of Part 2 of the <i>Land Acquisition (Just Terms Compensation) Act 1991</i> , the council shall: a) reserve the land in accordance with the request, and b) include the land in a zone appropriate to its intended future use or a zone advised by the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the		Consistent. The draft rezoning does not reserve or zone land for a specific public purpose. It is recognised that land will be provided for public open space. The precise location of such land will not be known until more detailed subdivision planning is undertaken following rezoning. It is envisaged that any proposed public open space would be transferred to Council on registration of plans of subdivision and the land rezoned for open space at a later date.

Section 117 Direction	Consistency/Comment
Department nominated by the Director-General), and c) identify the relevant acquiring authority for the land. 6) When a Minister or public authority requests a council to include provisions in a draft LEP relating to the use of any land reserved for a public purpose before that land is acquired, the council shall: (a) include the requested provisions, or (b) take such other action as advised by the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) with respect to the use of the land before it is acquired. 7) When a Minister or public authority requests a council to include provisions in a draft LEP to rezone and/or remove a reservation of any land that is reserved for public purposes because the land is no longer designated by that public authority for acquisition, the council shall rezone and/or remove the relevant reservation in accordance with the request.	
Consistency	
8) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that: a) with respect to a request referred to in paragraph (7), that further information is required before appropriate planning controls for the land can be determined, or b) the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent with the terms of this direction are of minor significance. Note: Clause 11 of the EP&A Reg 2000 provides that a local environmental plan or draft local environmental plan: a) may not contain a provision reserving land for a purpose referred to in section 26 (1) (c) of the EP&A Act, and b) may not contain a provision in respect of that reservation as required by section 27 of the EP&A Act, unless the public authority responsible for the acquisition of the land has notified the council of its concurrence to the inclusion of such a provision in the plan. In this direction: "public authority" has the same meaning as section 4 of the EP&A Act. the use or reservation of land for a public purpose has the same meaning as in section 26(1)(c) of the EP&A Act.	
Direction No. 6.3 Site Specific Provisions	

Section 117 Direction		Consistency/Comment
Objectives		
1) The objective of this direction is to discourage unnecessarily restrictive site specific planning controls.		
Where this direction applies		
2) This direction applies to all councils.		
When this direction applies		
3) This direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP to allow a particular development to be carried out.		
What a council must do if this direction applies		
4) A draft LEP that amends another environmental planning instrument in order to allow a particular development proposal to be carried out shall either: a) allow that land use to be carried out in the zone the land is situated on, or b) rezone the site to an existing zone already applying in the environmental planning instrument that allows that land use without imposing any development standards or requirements in addition to those already contained in that zone, or c) allow that land use on the relevant land without imposing any development standards or requirements in addition to those already contained in the principal environmental planning instrument being amended. 5) A draft LEP shall not contain or refer to drawings that show details of the development proposal.		Consistent
Consistency		
6) A draft LEP may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if council can satisfy the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director-General) that the provisions of the draft LEP that are inconsistent are of minor significance.		